

Kishi, T., Kafantaris, V. Sunday, S., Sheridan, E. M. & Correll, C. U. (2012). Are antipsychotics Effective for the Treatment of Anorexia Nervosa? Results From a Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Journal of Clinical Psychiatry*, 73(6), 757-766.

antipsychotics compared to placebo or usual care for anorexia nervosa

Patient or population: patients with anorexia nervosa

Settings: patients with anorexia nervosa

Intervention: antipsychotics

Comparison: placebo or usual care

Outcomes	Illustrative comparative risks* (95% CI)		Relative effect (95% CI)	No of Participants (studies)	Quality of the evidence (GRADE)	Comments
	Assumed risk	Corresponding risk				
	Placebo or usual care	Antipsychotics				
Weight or BMI Differences in body weight or body mass index between antipsychotic treatment and placebo or usual care	The mean weight or BMI in the control groups was 0	The mean weight or BMI in the intervention groups was 0.27 standard deviations higher (0.01 lower to 0.56 higher)		195 (7 studies)	⊕⊕⊖⊖ low ^{1,2}	Det er ikke signifikant bedre å få antipsykotika sammenlignet med placebo eller standard behandling målt med vekt eller BMI.
anorexia-related rating scale-based psychopathology Questionnaires related to anorexia nervosa	The mean anorexia-related rating scale-based psychopathology in the control groups was 0	The mean anorexia-related rating scale-based psychopathology in the intervention groups was 0.27 standard deviations lower (0.81 lower to 0.27 higher)		114 (5 studies)	⊕⊕⊖⊖ low ^{1,2,3}	Det er ikke signifikant bedre å få antipsykotika sammenlignet med placebo eller standard behandling målt med symptomer på anorexia.
Dropout rate Dropout due to any cause	Study population 200 per 1000	188 per 1000 (106 to 334)	RR 0.94 (0.53 to 1.67)	181 (7 studies)	⊕⊕⊖⊖ low ^{1,3,4}	Det er ikke signifikant bedre å få antipsykotika sammenlignet med placebo eller standard behandling målt med frafall.
Side effects Drowsiness/sedation/somnolence	Study population 215 per 1000	795 per 1000 (289 to 1000)	RR 3.69 (1.34 to 9.95)	129 (5 studies)	⊕⊖⊖⊖ very low ^{1,4,5}	Det er signifikant bedre å få placebo eller vanlig behandling enn å få antipsykotika målt med noen bivirkninger
	Moderate					
	Moderate					

*The basis for the **assumed risk** (e.g. the median control group risk across studies) is provided in footnotes. The **corresponding risk** (and its 95% confidence interval) is based on the assumed risk in the comparison group and the **relative effect** of the intervention (and its 95% CI).

CI: Confidence interval; **RR:** Risk ratio;

GRADE Working Group grades of evidence

High quality: Further research is very unlikely to change our confidence in the estimate of effect.

Moderate quality: Further research is likely to have an important impact on our confidence in the estimate of effect and may change the estimate.

Low quality: Further research is very likely to have an important impact on our confidence in the estimate of effect and is likely to change the estimate.

Very low quality: We are very uncertain about the estimate.

¹ Unclear allocation concealment in one of the studies

² Total population size is less than 400

³ Wide 95% CI

⁴ Total events less than 300, wide 95% CI

⁵ Heterogeneity, $I^2 = 67\%$ ($p=0.02$)
